

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,793 07/02/2003		07/02/2003	Arup Bhattacharyya	1303.111US1 5437		
21186	7590	10/11/2006		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGM	IAN, LU	INDBERG, WOES	ERDEM, FAZLI			
P.O. BOX 29	38					
MINNEAPO	LIS. MN	I 55402	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			2026		

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/612,7	93	BHATTACHARYYA, ARUP					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	,	Art Unit					
		Fazli Erde	em	2826					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on th	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN INSIGHT IN THE MAILIN IN THE MA	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ev stion. y period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror dication to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 18 April 2006.							
2a)□		☐ This action is r	on-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	closed in accordance with the practice u	nder Ex parte Qu	iayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-32 and 72-79</u> is/are allowed.								
6)🛛	Claim(s) 33,42,54 and 63 is/are rejected.								
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>34-41,43-53,55-62 and 64-71</u> is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	=		red in this National	Stage _.				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	48)	Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal						
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/18/2006</u> .		6) Other:	atont Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 1-32 and 72-79 allowed.
- 2. Claims 34-41, 43-53, 55-62, and 64-71 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 33, 42, 54, 63, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King (2004/0246764) in view of Herner et al. (2005/0098800).

Regarding Claims 33, 42, 54 and 63, King discloses a memory cell utilizing negative differential resistance devices where negative differential resistance devices 130 which is disclosed also to be a diode negative differential resistance diode device, is located between the Vdd node and the Vsn node, i.e. between the second diffusion region of the transistor 110 and the reference potential. Furthermroe, paragraph 46 discloses that memory cell could be built on a SOI structure. King fails to disclose the intrinsic region of the negative differential diode 130. However, Herner et al. disclose a non-

TECXICOLOGY CENTER 2800

Art Unit: 2826

volatile memory cell comprising a reduced height vertical diode where in paragraph 35, the required intrinsic region is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required intrinsic region in King as taught by

Herner et al. in order to have a semiconductor device with smaller geometry and operable with a lower voltage value.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,793

Art Unit: 2826

Page 4

FE September 27, 2006